

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

V.

SEAN CRAIG GLUCKMAN,

Defendant.

Case No. CR 24-570-WLH-53 ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

On October 2, 2024, Defendant Sean Craig Gluckman made her initial appearance on the Indictment filed in this matter. Jeremy Lessem, a member of the Indigent Defense Panel, was appointed to represent Defendant. The government

was represented by Assistant United States Attorney Bruce Riordan. A detention hearing was held.

☑ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case allegedly involving a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.

☑ On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

The Court concludes that the Government is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety or any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].

II.

The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: \Begin{align\*} \text{the appearance of the defendant as required.} \end{align\*}

 $\boxtimes$  the safety of any person or the community.

III.

The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered the information presented at the hearing, the arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation prepared by U.S. Probation and Pretrial Services.

IV.

The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

As to risk of non-appearance:

- discrepancies in information regarding Defendant's background regarding education, employment, and substance use history.
- ☐ The Indictment charges Defendant with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute controlled substances, conspiracy to commit bank fraud, bank fraud, and identity fraud.
- □ currently on probation to 2026 (and reportedly not in good standing) and subject to outstanding warrant for failure to appear
  - ☑ Unrebutted Presumption.

As to danger to the community:

- □ allegations in the Indictment see above)
- Criminal History includes felony convictions for burglary, grand theft, fraud related offenses, misdemeanor convictions for theft, violating a court order to prevent domestic violence, and multiple law enforcement contacts including probation violations.
  - ☐ Unrebutted Presumption.

V.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained until trial. The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant will be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined will

## deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)] Dated: October 2, 2024 /s/ ALKA SAGAR UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Filed 10/02/24 Page 4 of 4 Page ID

Case 2:24-cr-00570-WLH Document 344